



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



The influence of culture and heritage on interior aesthetics

Anthony Dornubari Enwin *

Department of Architecture, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 2024, 19(01), 113–122

Publication history: Received on 28 February 2024; revised on 08 April 2024; accepted on 11 April 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2024.19.1.0062>

Abstract

Contemporary interior design, while propelled by evolving trends and technological advancements, often overlooks the profound influence of culture and heritage on aesthetic preferences and spatial arrangements. This oversight diminishes the authenticity of designed spaces and undermines their ability to resonate with diverse communities. This paper seeks to bridge this gap by conducting a comprehensive exploration of the intricate relationship between culture, heritage, and interior aesthetics. Drawing from a rich array of case studies and theoretical frameworks, it delves into how cultural heritage shapes design decisions, ranging from the layout of spaces to the selection of materials and furnishings. Through thematic analysis and synthesis of existing literature, the paper elucidates the diverse ways in which cultural heritage enriches interior design practice. By celebrating and integrating diverse cultural influences, spaces can be created that are not only visually captivating but also deeply meaningful and inclusive. This paper contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing insights and guidelines for designers to embrace cultural heritage in their practice, fostering greater authenticity and inclusivity in interior design.

Keywords: Culture; Heritage; Interior Aesthetics; Design; Diversity; Sustainability

1. Introduction

Contemporary interior design is a dynamic field that reflects evolving trends, technologies, and societal values. Yet, amidst the quest for innovation and modernity, there exists a critical oversight – the profound influence of culture and heritage on interior aesthetics. Cultural heritage, encompassing traditions, beliefs, and values passed down through generations, shapes the way we perceive and interact with our built environment. This oversight, therefore, not only diminishes the authenticity of designed spaces but also undermines the ability of design to resonate with diverse communities.

This paper seeks to address this gap by delving into the intricate relationship between culture, heritage, and interior aesthetics. Drawing on a wealth of case studies and theoretical frameworks, the aim is to explore how cultural heritage informs and enriches design decisions, from the layout of spaces to the selection of materials and furnishings. Examples from different cultural contexts and historical periods will be examined to uncover the underlying principles that govern the intersection of culture and design.

Through this exploration, the importance of embracing cultural heritage in interior design practice will be demonstrated. By celebrating and integrating diverse cultural influences, spaces can be created that are not only visually captivating but also deeply meaningful and inclusive. Ultimately, this paper serves as a call to action for designers to recognize the richness of cultural heritage and harness its transformative power in shaping the built environment.

* Corresponding author: Anthony Dornubari Enwin

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Contemporary interior design practice often overlooks the profound influence of cultural heritage on aesthetic preferences and spatial arrangements. As a result, there is a gap in understanding how cultural and historical contexts shape design choices, leading to homogenized spaces that lack authenticity and fail to resonate with diverse populations. This neglect of cultural heritage in interior design poses a challenge for designers seeking to create meaningful and inclusive environments that reflect the identity and values of the communities they serve.

To address this problem, this academic paper proposes to explore the role of cultural heritage in shaping interior aesthetics. By examining case studies from different cultural contexts and historical periods, the paper aims to elucidate the diverse ways in which cultural heritage informs design decisions, from architectural styles to decorative motifs. Through a comprehensive analysis, the paper seeks to provide insights and guidelines for designers to integrate cultural heritage into their practice, fostering greater authenticity and inclusivity in interior design.

2. Literature Review

The influence of culture and heritage in interior aesthetics remains a multifaceted area of study, encompassing the culmination of architectural styles, decorative motifs, spatial arrangements, material choices, and symbolic elements. Alexander (1979) posits that there is one timeless way of building, and it is thousands of years old, and the same today as it has always been. The great traditional buildings of the past, the villages and tents and temples in which man feels at home, have always been made by people who were very close to the centre of this way. Holloway (2010) emphasizes the importance of cultural sensitivity in interior design practice, as it aligns with the notion that interior aesthetics should incorporate elements that resonate with the cultural heritage of the users, concerning the cultural identity and ethos of a society. Helliwell's (2006) exploration of Hedonic psychology delves into the factors that contribute to individual well-being and happiness, acknowledging the notions of well-being and happiness in this context, as being culturally contingent; and shaped by cultural norms, values, and beliefs. Understanding the interplay between cultural sensitivity and the psychological dimensions of well-being and happiness can provide valuable insights for interior designers, architects, and other stakeholders in the design industry in shaping interior aesthetics (Holloway, 2010). Helliwell's work intersects with the field of environmental psychology, which explores the relationship between individuals and their built environment. In essence, the perceptions and interactions with the surroundings, including considerations on the impact of design elements such as colour, texture, light and sound, cultural motifs and symbols on the emotional responses and cognitive processes of occupants, as well as the sense of belonging and cultural identity it fosters (Moussavi & Kubo, 2017).

While authorities emphasize the importance of cultural diversity and respect for users' cultural preferences in interior design aesthetics, some users and/or homeowners disregard such considerations. Some prefer minimalist interiors, eschewing cultural embellishments, and favouring simplicity. Conversely, others prioritize the embellishment and enhancement of interior aesthetics, seeking to create visually striking spaces. According to Abdulqader et al., (2015) an organized environment, tailored to human behaviours and necessities, holds as much significance as air, energy, and water. Salingaros and Mehaffy (2006) emphasize the importance of the principles of complexity, coherence, and adaptability in design; emphasis on complexity. Iterating that built environments should incorporate a rich array of elements and relationships, mirroring the intricate patterns found in nature and human societies. Rather than favouring simplistic or monotonous designs, complexity should be embraced and manifested in various ways, including the layering of spaces, the interplay of light and shadow, and the integration of diverse materials and textures that offer occupants opportunities for exploration, discovery, and sensory delight. Also, Abdulqader et al., (2015) describe the impact of simplicity and complexity in interior design strategies on the identity of place. While the study sheds light on how design approaches influence cultural significance and perceptual qualities, it primarily focuses on the dichotomy between simplicity and complexity without fully exploring the nuanced role of culture and heritage in shaping these strategies. This all leads to the question, 'Where does the role of culture and heritage intersect with interior aesthetics.'

Moussavi and Kubo (2017) in retrospect, emphasize the role of designers in the reinterpretation of the cultural narrative in light of modern sensibilities and technological advancements while still honouring cultural heritage. This underscores the dynamic nature of cultural influence on interior aesthetics, as designers navigate between tradition and innovation in their quest to create meaningful and culturally resonant spaces.



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/211174976871459/> (2024)

Figure 1 Crafted African Interior Ornamentation

Moussavi and Kubo's examination of ornamentation sheds light on how different cultures have employed decorative elements to express their values, beliefs, and societal norms within interior spaces.

Cultural and heritage influences manifest in the choice and application of ornamentation, contributing to the creation of atmospheres that evoke specific cultural contexts or historical periods. For example, the use of traditional motifs or craftsmanship techniques in ornamentation can convey cultural narratives and historical legacies, evoking a sense of cultural continuity and identity within interior spaces (Moussavi & Kubo, 2017). Just like the ornate palaces of the Mughal Empire in India which were characterized by distinctive features such as domes, minarets, and in the interiors, intricate floral patterns, reflecting the fusion of Persian, Indian, and Islamic architectural styles (Asher, 1992). Hence, the continuation of the historical and cultural legacies attributed to Moussavi and Kubo (2017).

Holloway (2010) emphasizes the need for thorough research on cultural contexts, historical precedents, and user preferences to inform their design decisions. This emphasis on research aligns with the need to understand how cultural influences shape interior aesthetics and how they can be effectively integrated into design solutions. Recognising that cultural differences can profoundly influence individuals' experiences of happiness and satisfaction underscores the importance of considering cultural diversity in interior design practice and adapting design strategies to accommodate different cultural norms and preferences (Helliwell, 2006).

This also brings to light the concept of cultural neutrality, in interior design, which refers to the approach of creating spaces that are devoid of cultural bias or influence, aiming for universality and neutrality in their design elements to accommodate diverse cultural backgrounds and preferences. However, achieving cultural neutrality in interior design is not without challenges. Salingaros and Mehaffy (2006) argue that the pursuit of cultural neutrality may inadvertently lead to bland or generic design solutions that lack depth or richness. They caution against the homogenization of design aesthetics in the name of cultural neutrality, emphasizing the importance of preserving cultural diversity and authenticity in designed environments.



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/3448137208088066/> (2024)

Figure 2 Culturally Neutral Bedroom Design



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/68746928742/> (2024).

Figure 3 Contemporary African-styled Kitchen Design

While cultural diversity and cultural neutrality in their own ways, share similarities, as they both foster inclusivity and representation within society, emphasizes the importance of respecting and valuing different cultural perspectives, and recognises the agency and autonomy of individuals within cultural contexts, it is important to note that they also have distinct goals and implications. There is an inherent relationship between architecture and memory (Bennett et al., 2003). They argue that buildings, likewise the interior aesthetics serve as repositories of collective memory, embodying the history, values, and aspirations of a society. Therefore, in light of these modern sensibilities and technological advancements, as well as issues such as globalization, urbanization, and cultural homogenization which can pose threats to cultural diversity and heritage, there should be cultural sustainability, which goes beyond mere environmental concerns to encompass the preservation of cultural traditions and values.

Bennett et al., (2003) argue that sustainable architecture must take into account the social and cultural contexts in which buildings are situated, recognizing the significance of place-making and community identity. In essence, showcasing how architectural design by extension, interior design can both reflect and contribute to the preservation of cultural identity and heritage, as well fostering a sense of continuity and connection with the past, while also accommodating the needs of future generations (Moussavi and Kubo, 2017).

2.1. How Different Cultures Have Approached the Incorporation of Heritage and Culture into Contemporary Interior Aesthetics

The expression of interior aesthetics functions as an embodiment of communal pride, mirroring affluence, social hierarchy, and political authority (Enwin et al., 2024). The incorporation of heritage and culture into interior aesthetics amidst the quest for innovation and modernity is a complex and dynamic process, influenced by various factors and perspectives.



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/jonewulo/igbo-culture/> (2024).

Figure 4 Ụlọ omé n'Ìgbò

For one, the intricacies of African design are contextualized within a global framework of design trends, where its unique aesthetic qualities and influences are highlighted through vivid descriptions and captivating visuals of the diverse range of styles, motifs, and techniques, depicted to reflect the richness of the cultural heritage (Algotsson, 2000).

The Igbos are a typical example of African cultures influenced by symbolism and storytelling. Okoye and Ukanwa (2019) opine that in the Igbo culture, traditional art is the chain linking the past with the present which is represented by a wide variety of traditional figures, masks and metal works.



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/115404809193591061/> (2024).

Figure 5 Bedroom Design



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/211174976829866/> (2024).

Figure 6 Wood Work Interior Decoration

They highlight the use of animal and bird motifs in the interior aesthetics, as they were sacred and signified divinities. Among these were the practices of the Igbo women 'Uli' wall painting and mural decoration that showcase images that align with the principles of the 'Obi' – symbolic as the tempo-spiritual essence of the collective identity (the circle as the centre). The Igbo people also used carved wooden panels of titled men and women as doorways into the compound (mbara ezi) to display wealth and refined taste (Okoye and Ukanwa, 2019).

The interior design of the Igbos over the years has encountered a cultural revival, blending tradition and innovation as a way of bridging the gap that exists between the cultural and historical contexts that shape contemporary design choices.



Source: <https://www.pinterest.com/pin/211174976833090/> (2024).

Figure 7 Contemporary African-styled Living Room Design

The Japanese culture exemplifies a remarkable fusion of tradition and modernity, drawing from centuries-old Zen philosophies that prioritize unity with nature, appreciation of tranquil scenery, and reverence for the past. Seen throughout the defining periods of Japanese history is the culmination of years of dedication and the proliferation of culture and heritage to the refinement of interior design aesthetics.



Source: <https://www.home-designing.com/modern-japanese-interiors-with-a-sense-of-serenity> (2024).

Figure 8 Contemporary Japanese interiors

Aiding this process was the revival of cultural concepts such as "ma," or empty space, the principles of wabi-sabi – embracing imperfection and impermanence as essential elements of beauty, and also "mottainai" (avoiding waste) and "shizen" (harmony with nature) that honours Japan's cultural heritage in response to modernization and globalization (Enwin et al., 2024).

3. Research Methodology

The methodology employs a qualitative approach to explore the intricate relationship between culture, heritage, and interior aesthetics. This approach allows for in-depth understanding and interpretation of subjective experiences and perceptions using a comprehensive review of scholarly literature and primary sources, and thematic analysis to identify recurring themes, patterns, underlying philosophies, aesthetic principles, and sociocultural influences and narratives related to the influence of culture and heritage in the interior aesthetics of contemporary design.

This qualitative approach allows for a systematic investigation of the influence of culture and heritage on interior aesthetics, generates valuable insights, and contributes to the existing body of knowledge in this field.

4. Discussion

Addressing the critical oversight of the profound influence of culture and heritage on contemporary interior aesthetics requires a multifaceted approach that acknowledges the significance of cultural context and integrates awareness, education, and collaboration among various stakeholders in the design process. While striving for innovation and modernity, by integrating cultural elements into design processes and embracing diverse heritage influences, designers can create spaces that resonate with users on a deeper level while pushing the boundaries of creativity and functionality. One way to address this oversight is through thorough research and analysis of cultural traditions and heritage. Designers need to be educated about the importance of cultural sensitivity and the impact of cultural heritage on interior aesthetics. Workshops, seminars, and educational programs focused on cultural diversity and inclusion can help designers develop a deeper understanding of different cultural perspectives and design traditions (Groat & Wang, 2002).

By studying historical architectural styles, decorative motifs, and spatial arrangements specific to different cultures, designers can gain insights into the symbolic meanings and aesthetic preferences embedded within them (Holloway, 2010). This knowledge forms the foundation for informed design decisions that respect and celebrate cultural diversity.

Collaboration with anthropologists, sociologists, and cultural experts can also enrich the design process by providing insights into the cultural significance of various design elements. (Salingaros and Mehaffy, 2006). Moreover,

collaboration with local communities and cultural institutions can provide valuable insights and resources for incorporating cultural elements into interior design projects. Engaging with artisans, craftsmen, and indigenous experts allows designers to draw upon traditional craftsmanship techniques and materials, ensuring authenticity and cultural relevance in their designs (Moussavi & Kubo, 2017).

In addition to education and collaboration, designers need to conduct thorough research on the cultural context of the project site. This includes studying local history, traditions, customs, and architectural styles to inform design decisions (Holloway, 2010). Site visits, interviews with residents, and immersion in the local culture can provide invaluable insights into the social and cultural dynamics that shape the built environment (LaGro Jr., 2013). Leveraging technology and innovation can facilitate the reinterpretation of cultural heritage in contemporary interior aesthetics. Digital tools such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) enable designers to visualize and experiment with cultural motifs and architectural elements in virtual environments, fostering creativity and exploration (Gura & Pile, 2013). Advanced manufacturing techniques, such as 3D printing and parametric design, offer opportunities to reimagine traditional forms and materials in innovative ways, blurring the boundaries between past and present (Fiell & Fiell, 2012).

Furthermore, fostering an inclusive design process that values diverse perspectives and experiences is essential for addressing the influence of culture and heritage in interior aesthetics. By actively engaging with stakeholders from different cultural backgrounds, designers can ensure that their designs are sensitive to the needs and preferences of diverse user groups (Helliwell, 2006). The aspect of cultural neutrality becomes more eminent as people tend to be multicultural in modern societies and would not want to be associated with just a particular culture, and thus, emphasizing the need for inclusivity and sensitivity to different cultural values and norms within designed environments. One aspect of cultural neutrality in interior design involves avoiding the imposition of dominant cultural norms or stereotypes that may alienate or marginalize individuals from different cultural backgrounds. As Holloway (2010) notes, cultural sensitivity in interior design requires designers to be mindful of the diverse cultural heritage of users, ensuring that design elements are inclusive and respectful of various cultural identities and values. This may involve incorporating elements from multiple cultural traditions in a balanced manner to create spaces that are welcoming and accommodating to all.

Moreover, cultural neutrality in interior design encompasses the use of design elements that have universal appeal and functionality across different cultural contexts. For example, principles of ergonomic design and universal design can be employed to create spaces that are accessible and usable by people with diverse physical abilities and cultural backgrounds (Sanford, 2012). By prioritizing functionality and comfort over cultural specificity, designers can create environments that are adaptable to the needs and preferences of diverse users. However, the challenges that arise such as homogenization of design aesthetics as highlighted by Salingaros and Mehaffy (2006) occurs when design practices prioritize a universalized aesthetic that overlooks the distinctive cultural identities and nuances of diverse communities. This can manifest in several ways such as:

- **Loss of Cultural Diversity:** Homogenization may result in the erasure of unique cultural expressions and traditions from designed environments. When design solutions prioritize a neutral or generic aesthetic, they risk overlooking the rich tapestry of cultural diversity that exists across different regions and communities.
- **Standardization of Design:** In the quest for cultural neutrality, there is a tendency towards standardization of design elements and motifs. This can lead to the replication of generic design templates across different contexts, diminishing the authenticity and sense of place inherent in culturally specific design expressions.
- **Neglect of Local Context:** Homogenization often disregards the local context and vernacular traditions that inform design practices. By imposing universal design principles without considering the specific cultural, social, and environmental contexts of a place, designers may fail to create environments that resonate with local communities and reflect their unique identity.

Cultural diversity celebrates differences and promotes the preservation of cultural heritage, while cultural neutrality seeks to create inclusive environments that transcend cultural boundaries and biases. By understanding and navigating the nuances of both concepts, designers and policymakers can create environments that are both culturally rich and socially inclusive. This collaborative approach promotes cultural exchange and dialogue, enriching the design process and fostering cross-cultural understanding. Moreover, designers should adopt a holistic approach to sustainability that encompasses cultural preservation and heritage conservation. By incorporating traditional building techniques, locally sourced materials, and adaptive reuse strategies, designers can not only reduce the environmental impact of their projects but also celebrate and preserve cultural heritage (Bennett et al., 2003).

Ultimately, addressing the critical oversight of culture and heritage in contemporary interior aesthetics requires a paradigm shift in design thinking, where cultural sensitivity and innovation are viewed as complementary rather than conflicting objectives. It also requires a concerted effort from designers, educators, policymakers, and communities to embrace cultural diversity, foster collaboration, conduct thorough research, and adopt sustainable practices to create interior spaces that resonate with users on a level that acknowledges and celebrates the diverse array of human culture and heritage while pushing the boundaries of innovation and modernity.

5. Conclusion

The write-up provides a comprehensive analysis of the influence of culture and heritage on contemporary interior aesthetics. It highlights the critical oversight in current interior design practices regarding cultural influences and proposes a comprehensive approach to address this gap, emphasizing the importance of cultural sensitivity, education, and collaboration in creating interior spaces that resonate with diverse communities. Through qualitative research methods and collaboration with experts, the paper explores the intersection of culture, and heritage in design decisions, By integrating cultural elements, embracing diversity, and adopting sustainable practices, designers can create meaningful and inclusive environments that celebrate human culture and heritage.

References

- [1] Abdulqader, O., Ibrahim, F., & Bahauddin, A. (2015). Effects of Simplicity and Complexity Interior Design Strategies in The Identity of Place. *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 9. 173-176. *Adv. Environ. Biol.*, 9(4), 173-176,
- [2] Alexander, C. (1979). *The Timeless Way of Building*, Volume 8. Oxford University Press.
- [3] Algotsson, S. (2000). *African Style: down to the details* Hardcover. Clarkson Potter.
- [4] Asher, C. B. (1992). *Architecture of Mughal India*. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Bennett, H., Radford, A., & Williamson, T. (2003). *Understanding Sustainable Architecture*. Routledge Publishing.
- [6] Enwin, A. D., Okafor, C. G., & Okey-Ejiofor, C. H. (2024). *Interior Design History and Timeline*. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*.
- [7] Fiell, C., & Fiell, P. (2012). *Design of the 20th Century*. Littlehampton Book Services.
- [8] Groat, L., & Wang, D. (2002). *Architectural Research Methods*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [9] Gura, J., & Pile, J. (2013). *A History of Interior Design*. Laurence King Publishing.
- [10] Helliwell, J. F. (2006). *Well-Being: Foundations of Hedonic Psychology*. Oxford University Press.
- [11] Holloway, M. (2010). *Interior Design: Theory and Process*. Routledge.
- [12] LaGro Jr. J., A. (2013). *Site Analysis: Informing Context-Sensitive and Sustainable Site Planning and Design*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [13] Moussavi, F., & Kubo, M. (2017). *The Function of Ornament*. Actar Publishers.
- [14] Okoye, C., & Ukanwa, O. E. (2019). *Igbo Traditional Architecture: A Symbol of Igbo Cultural Identity*. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*.
- [15] Salinger, N. A., & Mehaffy, M. W. (2006). *A theory of architecture*. Umbau-Verlag Harald Püschel.
- [16] Sanford, J. A. (2012). *Universal Design as a Rehabilitation Strategy: Design for the Ages* 1st Edition. Springer Publishing Company.