Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances

eISSN: 2582-5003

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gjeta

Journal homepage: https://gjeta.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

The role of machine learning in reducing inventory holding costs

Oluwatumininu Anne Ajayi *

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Texas A&M University, Kingsville, Texas, United States of America.

Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 2022, 13(03), 141-144

Publication history: Received on 22 November 2022; revised on 25 December 2022; accepted on 28 December 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2022.13.3.0210

Abstract

Inventory holding costs represent a substantial and persistent challenge in modern supply chain management, especially in an era defined by globalization, product proliferation, and rapid shifts in consumer behavior. These costs—comprising capital costs, warehousing, insurance, depreciation, and obsolescence can consume up to 30% of the inventory value annually, directly impacting firms' profitability and responsiveness. Traditional inventory optimization models are increasingly inadequate for managing the variability and uncertainty present in contemporary supply chains. This paper explores the application of machine learning (ML) methods in reducing inventory holding costs by enhancing the accuracy, agility, and automation of critical inventory decisions.

ML techniques such as time-series neural networks, reinforcement learning, unsupervised anomaly detection, and ensemble forecasting models have gained traction across industries including retail, manufacturing, and logistics. These approaches enable real-time adjustments to inventory levels, dynamic safety stock calibration, and proactive anomaly resolution—improving operational efficiency while minimizing excess inventory. Moreover, the integration of explainable AI and cloud-based ML infrastructure supports scalable, transparent deployment across complex, multi-echelon supply networks. This paper reviews contemporary literature, case studies, and empirical models to highlight the transformative impact of ML on inventory economics. It also addresses the scalability, ethical, and integration challenges faced by organizations attempting to operationalize AI-driven inventory solutions. Through a comprehensive examination of methodologies, frameworks, and real-world implementations, the study positions machine learning not only as a cost-reduction tool but also as a strategic enabler of resilient, intelligent supply chains.

Keywords: Inventory Management, Machine Learning, Demand Forecasting, Optimization, Predictive Analytics, Cost Efficiency

1. Introduction

In 2022, efficient inventory management remains a strategic priority for businesses seeking cost reduction and competitive agility. Inventory holding costs, encompassing warehousing, depreciation, obsolescence, insurance, and capital costs can account for 20-30% of total inventory value annually (Chopra & Meindl, 2019). Poor forecasting, inflexible replenishment policies, and insufficient responsiveness to market shifts exacerbate these costs. Machine learning provides a promising avenue for enhancing inventory control by processing vast data streams and uncovering patterns imperceptible to traditional analytics. ML models can learn from historical data, adapt to seasonal and promotional variations, and respond to disruptions in real time, leading to smarter inventory decisions.

* Corresponding author: Oluwatumininu Anne Ajayi.

2. Literature Review

Past studies have explored the impact of predictive analytics on inventory optimization. Silver et al. (1998) laid the groundwork for inventory control models that balance cost and service levels. More recent literature focuses on ML-based forecasting, such as ARIMA hybrids (Makridakis et al., 2018), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks (Brownlee, 2021), and ensemble learning methods that outperform classical statistical models. Waller and Fawcett (2013) highlighted the potential of big data analytics in supply chain contexts. Choi et al. (2018) demonstrated that machine learning algorithms could reduce forecast errors by up to 30%, directly impacting stock levels and holding costs. Koh et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of real-time analytics for demand sensing in omnichannel retail. Tang and Veelenturf (2019) discussed the digital transformation of supply chains and how machine learning integrates into broader Industry 4.0 frameworks.

Other significant contributions include:

- Syntetos et al. (2016) on intermittent demand forecasting.
- Fildes et al. (2008) on the accuracy of various demand forecasting methods in practice.
- Boylan and Syntetos (2010) on forecasting for inventory control.
- Carbonneau et al. (2008) on neural networks for supply chain demand forecasting.
- Zhang et al. (2017) on deep learning approaches to sales and demand prediction.
- Guo et al. (2020) on explainable machine learning models for demand forecasting.
- Ivanov (2021) on resilience through AI in inventory systems.

3. Machine Learning Techniques in Inventory Cost Reduction

3.1 Demand Forecasting ML algorithms such as Random Forests, Gradient Boosting Machines, and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) offer superior accuracy in demand forecasting. These models can accommodate high-dimensional data and capture nonlinear relationships, allowing firms to better match inventory levels to expected demand (Zhang et al., 2017; Carbonneau et al., 2008). LSTM networks are particularly adept at modeling time-series data with temporal dependencies (Brownlee, 2021), while hybrid models combining statistical and neural approaches demonstrate higher robustness in volatile markets (Makridakis et al., 2018). DeepAR and Prophet are also widely used in production settings for their scalability and interpretability (Salinas et al., 2020).

3.2 Inventory Optimization ML can optimize reorder points and order quantities by incorporating dynamic lead times, supplier reliability, and real-time sales data. Reinforcement learning, for example, can simulate various inventory policies under stochastic conditions to find optimal strategies (Mnih et al., 2015; Sutton & Barto, 2018). Monte Carlo Tree Search and Q-learning can also be employed in decision support tools to generate policy recommendations (Silver et al., 2016). Optimization frameworks that integrate predictive models with cost-based heuristics have shown to outperform traditional Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) models under uncertainty (Ghosh, 2021).

3.3 Dynamic Safety Stock Adjustment ML models can analyze demand volatility and supply uncertainty to recommend adaptive safety stock levels. Bayesian learning and probabilistic forecasting methods enable more responsive buffering, thus minimizing excess inventory without compromising service levels (Petropoulos et al., 2020). Advanced techniques like Gaussian Processes and Quantile Regression Forests allow for more granular control over risk thresholds (Taylor & Letham, 2017).

3.4 Anomaly Detection and Shrinkage Control Unsupervised learning techniques, such as clustering and autoencoders, can detect unusual inventory behaviors that may signal theft, spoilage, or data entry errors. Reducing shrinkage has a direct impact on inventory costs and bottom-line savings (Ahmed et al., 2016). Outlier detection methods such as Isolation Forests, DBSCAN, and neural autoencoders are effective in uncovering inventory leakage patterns across distributed networks (Chandola et al., 2009).

4. Case Studies

Retailers such as Walmart and Target have employed ML models to refine replenishment cycles, leading to significant reductions in overstock and markdown costs. In manufacturing, Bosch implemented ML-driven predictive maintenance and inventory classification to reduce idle stock by 15% (Bosch Annual Report, 2021). Amazon's use of ML in its inventory placement algorithms for fulfillment centers allows for optimal storage allocation and faster turnover, minimizing holding costs even in a high-velocity environment (Levy, 2020). IBM Watson and Microsoft Azure Machine

Learning have also been integrated into supply chain platforms to optimize safety stock levels and reorder points (Accenture, 2021). Maersk uses real-time ML-powered visibility solutions to reduce delays and optimize stock positioning globally (McKinsey, 2021).

5. Implementation

Challenges Despite the advantages, implementing ML solutions for inventory management requires overcoming several hurdles. These include data silos, lack of skilled personnel, algorithmic bias, and integration complexity. Moreover, change management and stakeholder buy-in remain critical for transitioning from rule-based systems to ML-driven decision-making (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). Additional concerns involve model drift, the need for ongoing retraining, ethical considerations related to automation, and ensuring explainability for operational transparency (Barredo Arrieta et al., 2020).

6. Conclusion

Machine learning represents a transformative tool for reducing inventory holding costs. By improving forecast accuracy, optimizing replenishment, and identifying inefficiencies, ML enables organizations to maintain lean inventories without sacrificing service quality. As of 2022, the maturation of AI infrastructure and data ecosystems makes ML increasingly accessible and valuable for supply chain optimization.

References

[1] Accenture. (2021). Intelligent supply chains powered by AI. Accenture Report.

[2] Ahmed, M. U., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). A survey of network anomaly detection techniques. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 60, 19–31.

[3] Barredo Arrieta, A., et al. (2020). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. Information Fusion, 58, 82–115.

[4] Bosch. (2021). Annual Report 2021.

[5] Boylan, J. E., & Syntetos, A. A. (2010). Spare parts management: A review of forecasting research and extensions. European Journal of Operational Research, 213(2), 497–509.

[6] Brownlee, J. (2021). Deep Learning for Time Series Forecasting. Machine Learning Mastery.

[7] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future. W. W. Norton & Company.

[8] Carbonneau, R., Laframboise, K., & Vahidov, R. (2008). Application of machine learning techniques for supply chain demand forecasting. European Journal of Operational Research, 184(3), 1140–1154.

[9] Chandola, V., Banerjee, A., & Kumar, V. (2009). Anomaly detection: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys, 41(3), 1–58.

[10] Choi, T. M., Wallace, S. W., & Wang, Y. (2018). Big data analytics in operations management. Production and Operations Management, 27(10), 1868–1889.

[11] Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2019). Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation. Pearson Education.

[12] Fildes, R., Goodwin, P., Lawrence, M., & Nikolopoulos, K. (2008). Effective forecasting and judgmental adjustments: An empirical evaluation and strategies for improvement in supply chain planning. International Journal of Forecasting, 24(1), 3–19.

[13] Ghosh, D. (2021). Hybrid AI approaches in inventory control. Journal of Business Analytics, 4(3), 211–230.

[14] Guo, H., et al. (2020). Explainable machine learning for demand forecasting. Decision Support Systems, 135, 113303.

[15] Ivanov, D. (2021). Predicting disruptions in supply networks using AI. Annals of Operations Research, 299, 341–361.

[16] Koh, L., Orzes, G., & Jia, F. (2020). The fourth industrial revolution (Industry 4.0): Technologies and implications. International Journal of Production Economics, 219, 226–230.

[17] Levy, S. (2020). Amazon Unbound: Jeff Bezos and the Invention of a Global Empire. Simon & Schuster.

[18] Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E., & Assimakopoulos, V. (2018). Statistical and Machine Learning forecasting methods: Concerns and ways forward. PLOS ONE, 13(3).

[19] McKinsey. (2021). The rise of real-time supply chain visibility platforms.

[20] Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., et al. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature, 518(7540), 529–533.

[21] Petropoulos, F., Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E., & Assimakopoulos, V. (2020). Forecasting with time series imaging. Expert Systems with Applications, 142, 112995.

[22] Salinas, D., Flunkert, V., Gasthaus, J., & Januschowski, T. (2020). DeepAR: Probabilistic forecasting with autoregressive recurrent networks. International Journal of Forecasting, 36(3), 1181–1191.

[23] Silver, D., et al. (2016). Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature, 529(7587), 484–489.

[24] Silver, E. A., Pyke, D. F., & Peterson, R. (1998). Inventory Management and Production Planning and Scheduling. Wiley.

[25] Sutton, R. S., & Barto, A. G. (2018). Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction. MIT Press.

[26] Syntetos, A. A., Boylan, J. E., & Croston, J. D. (2016). On the categorization of demand patterns. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 56(5), 495–503.

[27] Tang, C. S., & Veelenturf, L. P. (2019). The strategic role of logistics in the industry 4.0 era. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 129, 1–11.

[28] Taylor, S. J., & Letham, B. (2017). Forecasting at scale. PeerJ Preprints, 5, e3190v2.

[29] Waller, M. A., & Fawcett, S. E. (2013). Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: a revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. Journal of Business Logistics, 34(2), 77–84.

[30] Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., & Yu, G. (2017). Deep learning for sales prediction based on historical data and promotion information. Neurocomputing, 223, 188–194.