Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances
eISSN: 2582-5003
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gjeta
Journal homepage: https://gjeta.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

The design and development of digital books for E-learning: A synthesis of evidence-based principles

Ismail Bouzarhoun * and Mohamed Khaldi

Research team in Computer Science and University Pedagogical Engineering, Higher Normal School, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tetouan, Morocco.

Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 2026, 26(01), 001-010

Publication history: Received on 24 November 2025; revised on 31 December 2025; accepted on 02 January 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2026.26.1.0004

Abstract

The proliferation of e-learning has intensified demand for high-quality digital learning resources, yet many digital books fail to achieve their pedagogical potential. This narrative literature review synthesizes peer-reviewed research published between 2015 and 2024 to identify evidence-based design principles that distinguish effective digital books from digitized textbooks. The synthesis of theoretical and empirical literature reveals that effective digital books are characterized by four interconnected design dimensions: instructional design foundations (clear objectives, logical sequencing, scaffolded activities), purposeful multimedia integration aligned with cognitive principles, explicit support for self-regulated learning, and attention to technological usability and accessibility. In contrast, poorly designed digital books—often mere electronic replicas of printed textbooks—frequently result in cognitive overload, navigation difficulties, and limited learner engagement. This synthesis integrates insights from Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning, Self-Regulated Learning theory, and constructivist perspectives to provide educators and instructional designers with actionable guidance. The paper concludes by identifying persistent implementation barriers and proposing directions for future research, including empirical validation of design principles across contexts and exploration of artificial intelligence for adaptive digital book development.

Keywords: Digital Books; E-Learning; Instructional Design; Multimedia Learning; Self-Regulated Learning

1. Introduction

Digital technology has fundamentally transformed educational practice. E-learning has evolved from a peripheral supplement to mainstream educational delivery, enabling learners to access content independent of time and location (Singh & Thurman, 2019). Within this transformation, digital books—commonly termed e-books—have emerged as central vehicles for delivering structured learning content in online and blended learning environments.

Modern digital books extend beyond printed textbooks by incorporating multimedia elements including images, videos, animations, and interactive assessments. They can provide annotation tools, adaptive navigation, collaborative features, and learning progress tracking. When designed according to sound pedagogical principles, these affordances can enhance learner engagement, support individualized learning paths, and improve learning outcomes (Clark & Mayer, 2016). However, despite widespread adoption, the educational effectiveness of digital books remains inconsistent.

A persistent challenge is the prevalence of digital books that are merely electronic replicas of printed textbooks. This practice—often termed "digital transfer"—involves converting printed materials into PDF or EPUB formats without redesigning them for digital learning environments (Embong, Noor, Hashim, Ali, & Shaari, 2012). Such resources frequently neglect established principles of instructional design and multimedia learning, resulting in learner

* Corresponding author: Bouzarhoun Ismail.

disengagement, cognitive overload, and limited educational impact (Mayer, 2021). Empirical evidence suggests that while learners appreciate the accessibility and convenience of digital books, their effectiveness depends primarily on design quality rather than the digital medium itself (Pratama & Adam, 2024).

This study addresses the gap between technological potential and pedagogical effectiveness by synthesizing recent literature on digital book design for e-learning. The objective is to identify evidence-based design principles that can guide the development of pedagogically effective digital books.

1.1. Problem Statement and Research Questions

Despite growing use of digital books in e-learning environments, a discrepancy persists between their technological capabilities and their pedagogical effectiveness. Many digital books are developed without sufficient attention to instructional design principles, learner cognitive processes, or the demands of autonomous learning. Consequently, learners encounter poorly structured content, confusing navigation, limited accessibility, and inadequate support for independent learning.

This study addresses the following research questions:

- What design principles and characteristics distinguish pedagogically effective digital books from ineffective ones in e-learning contexts?
- How do established learning theories (Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning, Self-Regulated Learning theory, and constructivist perspectives) inform design decisions for digital books?
- What persistent challenges and barriers limit the effective implementation of digital books in e-learning, and how might these be addressed?

2. Research Objectives

This study aims to synthesize existing research to establish evidence-based guidance for designing effective digital books in e-learning contexts. The synthesis seeks to identify key characteristics and design features of pedagogically effective digital books, examine how learning theories inform digital book design decisions, analyze design features that support learner autonomy and self-regulated learning, identify persistent implementation challenges and barriers, and provide actionable recommendations for educators and instructional designers. These interconnected objectives guide the review process and structure the presentation of findings.

3. Theoretical Foundations

3.1. Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning

The Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (CTML) explains how learners process information in multimedia environments (Mayer, 2021). CTML posits that learners use dual channels—verbal and visual—each with limited capacity. Learning is optimized when materials reduce extraneous cognitive load, manage intrinsic load, and facilitate generative processing. Several principles guide digital book design. The coherence principle excludes extraneous material, while signaling highlights content organization. The redundancy principle avoids presenting on-screen text alongside narration. The spatial and temporal contiguity principles state that learning improves when related words and pictures are presented near each other and simultaneously. Finally, the modality principle favors explaining graphics with narration rather than text, and the multimedia principle combines words and pictures. Collectively, these guide strategic multimedia integration to support learning.

3.2. Self-Regulated Learning Theory

Self-regulated learning (SRL) emphasizes the learner's active role in planning, monitoring, and evaluating their process (Zimmerman, 2002). SRL involves three phases: forethought (goal setting), performance (monitoring), and self-reflection (evaluation). In autonomous e-learning environments, digital books can serve as metacognitive scaffolds by embedding SRL supports. These include goal-setting tools, progress indicators, self-assessments, and feedback mechanisms. Research indicates that SRL engagement improves persistence, time management, and satisfaction (Zimmerman, 2002). Explicit SRL support in digital books helps compensate for the absence of instructor guidance.

3.3. Constructivist Learning Theory

Constructivism views learning as active knowledge construction rather than passive reception (Piaget, 1973; Vygotsky, 1978). It emphasizes building understanding by connecting new information to existing knowledge through engagement with authentic tasks. Constructivist digital books incorporate problem-based activities, case studies, and reflective questions to promote deep understanding over surface memorization. These features encourage learners to actively process content and apply knowledge in meaningful contexts.

3.4. Theoretical Integration

These perspectives provide complementary lenses for analyzing effectiveness. CTML addresses how to present information; SRL addresses how learners regulate interaction; and Constructivism addresses activities that promote deep understanding. Together, they form a multi-layered foundation for effective digital book design.

4. Methodology

This study employs a narrative literature review to synthesize conceptual and empirical research on digital book design for e-learning. Narrative reviews prioritize theoretical integration, interpretive synthesis, and identification of patterns across diverse research contexts rather than exhaustive coverage or statistical aggregation (Baumeister & Leary, 1997).

4.1. Literature Search Strategy

Literature was identified through systematic searches of multiple academic databases including ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), Google Scholar, Web of Science, and ProQuest Education Database. The search strategy employed combinations of the following terms:

- Primary terms: "digital books," "e-books," "electronic books"
- Context terms: "e-learning," "online learning," "distance education," "higher education"
- Design terms: "instructional design," "multimedia design," "learning design," "pedagogical design"
- Theory terms: "cognitive load," "multimedia learning," "self-regulated learning"

4.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they:

- Addressed digital book design, development, or evaluation in educational contexts
- Were published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings between 2015 and 2024
- Provided empirical evidence or substantive theoretical analysis
- Were written in English

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused exclusively on technical implementation without pedagogical analysis
- Addressed digital reading devices rather than instructional content design
- Lacked clear connection to e-learning or educational contexts
- Were opinion pieces or practitioner reports without theoretical or empirical grounding

4.3. Study Selection and Analysis

The literature search yielded a broad corpus of potentially relevant studies. Following title and abstract screening against the inclusion criteria, a subset of studies was selected for full-text analysis. From this pool, a core body of literature formed the primary evidence base for the synthesis, supplemented by foundational theoretical works. This process ensured conceptual relevance rather than exhaustive coverage, consistent with the narrative review approach.

Analysis followed an iterative thematic approach. Sources were read multiple times to identify recurring design principles, theoretical alignments, reported challenges, and pedagogical patterns. Emergent themes were coded and organized into broader categories representing major dimensions of digital book design. This process revealed four interconnected design dimensions that characterize effective digital books in e-learning contexts.

4.4. Methodological Limitations

This narrative review has several limitations. First, it prioritizes theoretical coherence over exhaustive coverage, potentially omitting relevant work. Second, the reviewed studies employed diverse methodologies, contexts, and quality standards, making direct comparison difficult. Third, publication bias may favor studies reporting positive findings. Fourth, the review focuses on literature published in English, potentially excluding relevant work in other languages. These limitations are acknowledged and considered when interpreting findings.

5. Findings: Design Dimensions of Effective Digital Books

Analysis of the literature revealed four interconnected dimensions that characterize effective digital book design in e-learning contexts. These dimensions emerged consistently across theoretical and empirical studies and represent areas where design decisions significantly impact learning outcomes.

5.1. Instructional Design Foundations

5.1.1. Learning Objectives and Goal Clarity

Effective digital books must begin with clear, explicit learning objectives. Chen and colleagues (2019) found that chapter-level objectives significantly improved learners' metacognitive planning, helping them allocate study time and anticipate content. However, objectives must be specific and measurable (e.g., "explain three mechanisms") rather than vague (e.g., "understand the concept") to enable learners to gauge progress effectively (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001).

5.1.2. Content Organization and Sequencing

Content organization significantly affects learning efficiency by managing cognitive load (Sweller et al., 2019). Research highlights two key strategies: chunking, which breaks material into manageable short, manageable segments to improve comprehension (Paas et al., 2020), and logical sequencing, where prerequisites are presented first or linked just-in-time. Explicit hierarchical structures, such as headings and nested sections, further help learners construct mental models and navigate efficiently (Zhang et al., 2021).

5.1.3. Scaffolding and Instructional Support

Scaffolding provides temporary support for tasks learners cannot yet complete independently. Effective designs employ worked examples for novices that gradually fade (Renkl, 2017), conceptual scaffolds like advance organizers to preview content (Liu & Huang, 2020), and procedural scaffolds for complex processes. Crucially, this support must be temporary and withdrawn as learner competence increases.

5.1.4. Formative Assessment and Feedback

Embedded assessments provide retrieval practice and feedback. Studies confirm that self-assessment quizzes improve long-term retention via the "testing effect" (Chen et al., 2019). Crucially, feedback must be explanatory—addressing why an answer is correct or incorrect—rather than simple verification (Shute, 2008). Some advanced systems also employ adaptive difficulty to keep learners in their zone of proximal development (Khosravi et al., 2022).

5.2. Purposeful Multimedia Integration

5.2.1. Evidence for Multimedia Benefits

Multimedia enhances learning only when designed according to cognitive principles (Mayer, 2021). Scheiter and Eitel (2017) found that while text-only and multimedia books produced equivalent factual retention, multimedia versions resulted in superior transfer performance, suggesting benefits for deep learning and mental model construction.

5.2.2. Application of CTML Principles

Research confirms several CTML principles for digital books. The coherence principle dictates that decorative, irrelevant images distract learners and must be excluded (Castro-Alonso et al., 2019). Signaling uses visual cues like color to highlight essential information (Li et al., 2020), while contiguity requires text and related images to be integrated spatially to avoid scrolling. Finally, the modality principle suggests that narrated animations are superior to those with on-screen text.

5.2.3. Interactive Multimedia

Interactive simulations benefit conceptual understanding of dynamic systems (de Jong & van Joolingen, 2020). However, unguided exploration can overwhelm novices. Effective interactivity requires structured guidance, clear objectives, and scaffolded sequences to prevent cognitive overload (Kirschner et al., 2006).

5.2.4. When Not to Use Multimedia

Multimedia is not always beneficial. Text-only presentation is preferable when content is straightforward, learners have high prior knowledge, technical barriers exist, or the goal is text comprehension. Every multimedia element must serve a clear pedagogical function; otherwise, it should be excluded.

5.3. Support for Self-Regulated Learning

5.3.1. The Importance of SRL in E-learning

In autonomous e-learning environments, digital books must serve as metacognitive scaffolds. SRL skills predict online success (Broadbent & Poon, 2015), and embedding support features helps learners set goals, monitor comprehension, and adjust strategies in the absence of an instructor.

5.3.2. Goal Setting and Planning Support

Effective books support the forethought phase by providing interactive goal-setting tools and study planning guides. Bannert and Mengelkamp (2013) found that planning prompts activate metacognitive processes that might otherwise remain dormant. Prerequisite checks further support planning by helping learners identify knowledge gaps before reading.

5.3.3. Monitoring and Self-Assessment

During the performance phase, embedded comprehension checks and progress indicators help learners monitor their understanding and pace (Dunlosky & Rawson, 2012). Additionally, active annotation tools foster deeper processing and better retention compared to passive reading (Wolfe & Neuwirth, 2001).

5.3.4. Reflection and Self-Evaluation

In the reflection phase, open-ended prompts asking learners to connect concepts to prior knowledge improve transfer performance (King, 1992). Explicit evaluation rubrics help learners calibrate their perceived versus actual understanding, while interactive summaries allow them to identify weak areas requiring review.

5.3.5. Evidence for SRL-Supportive Features

Azevedo et al. (2013) demonstrated that students using SRL-scaffolded digital books showed better transfer performance, more efficient time allocation, and higher persistence. These features make invisible cognitive processes explicit, helping learners internalize effective strategies over time.

5.4. Technological Usability and Accessibility

5.4.1. Navigation and Findability

Disorientation is a primary challenge in digital reading. Effective navigation reduces cognitive load through clear structures like hyperlinked tables of contents and breadcrumb trails, alongside feedback mechanisms like progress indicators. Additionally, semantic search capabilities help locate conceptually related content (Marchionini, 2006), while strategic hyperlinking provides access to prerequisites without disrupting the primary flow.

5.4.2. Accessibility and Universal Design

Following Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles benefits all learners (Meyer et al., 2014). Essential features include text-to-speech compatibility, adjustable formatting for font size and contrast (Schneps et al., 2013), and alternative text to make visual information explicit (Bohman & Anderson, 2005). Furthermore, full keyboard navigation ensures functionality for users unable to use a mouse.

5.4.3. Technical Compatibility and Standards

Platform fragmentation limits effectiveness (Cox, 2004). While PDFs preserve layout, they limit interactivity; EPUB/HTML offers flexibility but varies by browser. Furthermore, restrictive Digital Rights Management (DRM) can reduce educational utility by preventing legitimate functions like note-taking or offline access.

5.4.4. Cognitive Load from Technology

Technological complexity imposes extraneous cognitive load (Arafat, 2020). Complex interfaces or "labyrinths" of menus divert mental resources from learning to troubleshooting. Effective design prioritizes simplicity and intuitive conventions to minimize this load, ensuring technology acts as a facilitator rather than a barrier.

6. Discussion

6.1. From Digital Transfer to Pedagogical Design

A fundamental finding of this synthesis is that effective digital books require intentional pedagogical design, not merely digitization. The persistent practice of "digital transfer"—converting print to PDF without redesign—fails to leverage digital affordances and often introduces navigation challenges. Consequently, the focus for institutions must shift from simple technology adoption to high-quality instructional design that respects cognitive constraints.

6.2. The Explanatory Power of CTML

The strong alignment between effective design and CTML principles confirms the theory's continued relevance. Evidence challenges the assumption that "more multimedia is better"; rather, effectiveness depends entirely on adherence to principles like coherence and signaling. A key theoretical contribution here is that CTML principles, typically applied to short lessons, differ in scale but remain vital for extended digital books, where decorative elements increase extraneous load and hinder learning.

6.3. Digital Books as Metacognitive Scaffolds

Digital books must evolve from static content repositories into active instructional systems that support self-regulated learning (SRL). In e-learning environments characterized by learner autonomy, digital books can partially substitute for instructor guidance by embedding prompts and feedback. This implies that digital books should be evaluated not just on content quality, but on their ability to scaffold the planning, monitoring, and reflection necessary for independent learning.

6.4. Constructivist Implications

While the literature supports constructivist design (problem-based activities, authentic tasks), a tension remains between these ideals and practical implementation. Many digital books continue to emphasize passive information transmission rather than active knowledge construction. This gap likely reflects institutional constraints and a lack of instructional design expertise required to develop high-quality, interactive activities.

6.5. Persistent Implementation Barriers

Despite design advancements, significant barriers hinder implementation. Expertise requirements are high, necessitating multidisciplinary teams (instructional designers, multimedia experts) that many institutions lack. Development costs for multimedia-rich books far exceed those of traditional texts. Technical infrastructure issues, such as platform fragmentation and bandwidth limitations, disproportionately affect under-resourced contexts. Finally, institutional inertia regarding assessment methods and restrictive licensing/DRM models often limit the pedagogical utility of digital innovations.

6.6. Synthesis of Design Dimensions

The four identified dimensions—instructional design, purposeful multimedia, SRL support, and usability—are interdependent. For instance, clear learning objectives (instructional design) enable goal setting (SRL), while good organization reduces navigation difficulties (usability). Isolated improvements in one area are insufficient; effective design requires a holistic approach where all dimensions reinforce one another to maximize pedagogical potential.

6.7. Theoretical Integration

This synthesis demonstrates that CTML, SRL, and Constructivism provide complementary, not competing, insights. CTML addresses alignment with cognitive architecture; SRL addresses the regulation of autonomous learning; and Constructivism emphasizes active knowledge construction. Integrating these perspectives allows designers to create comprehensive resources that address the perceptual, metacognitive, and cognitive aspects of the learning process.

7. Recommendations for Practice

Based on this synthesis, the following evidence-based recommendations are offered for educators, instructional designers, and institutions.

7.1. For Educators and Instructional Designers

The development of effective digital books must begin with clearly defined, measurable learning objectives established before content creation. All subsequent design decisions should support these objectives, as vague goals provide insufficient guidance for design or learner self-assessment. Multimedia elements must be integrated systematically according to CTML principles rather than aesthetic preferences. Every element requires a clear pedagogical function; decorative items should be excluded. Designers should use signaling (color, highlighting) to direct attention, ensure spatial proximity between related text and graphics to reduce cognitive load, and prefer audio narration over on-screen text for animations. Self-regulated learning should be explicitly supported through embedded scaffolds. Chapter objectives should support goal setting, while frequent comprehension checks with explanatory feedback aid monitoring. Strategic reflection prompts and tools for progress tracking are essential for managing independent learning in asynchronous contexts. Design decisions must accommodate diverse learners through universal design principles. Digital books should be compatible with screen readers (including alternative text) and allow adjustable formatting for font and contrast. Providing multiple representations of concepts and ensuring simple, intuitive navigation minimizes extraneous cognitive load from the technology itself. Finally, usability testing with representative learners should be conducted before finalization. Observing actual interaction reveals design flaws and confusion points that designers may not anticipate, allowing for correction before widespread deployment.

7.2. For Institutions

Institutions must recognize that effective development requires instructional design expertise, not merely subject knowledge. Support should be provided through professional development or specialized design teams, as isolated faculty rarely possess the full range of skills necessary for sophisticated development. Quality must be prioritized over quantity. Developing a single pedagogically sophisticated book with multimedia and SRL support requires more resources than mass digitization, but the educational benefits justify the investment. Policies should incentivize quality development rather than the rapid production of minimally designed resources. Infrastructure barriers must be addressed through institutional investment. Without reliable internet, compatible devices, and technical support, even well-designed books fail. Institutions must assess and resolve infrastructure gaps to ensure accessibility and user experience do not undermine pedagogical design. Institutional policies regarding assessment and credit structures should be examined to ensure they support innovation. For instance, assessment focusing on rote memorization may conflict with digital books designed for skill transfer. Policies should be revised to align with and support pedagogical advancements. Effectiveness should be rigorously evaluated using learning outcomes, engagement, and satisfaction metrics. Digital books should be treated as evolving resources, with continuous improvements driven by empirical evidence rather than assumptions.

8. Limitations

This synthesis has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting findings:

- Methodological approach: As a narrative review rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis, this synthesis prioritizes theoretical integration over exhaustive coverage. While major themes and principles likely remain valid, specific claims about effect sizes or frequency of findings should be interpreted cautiously.
- Study heterogeneity: The reviewed studies employed diverse methodologies (experiments, quasi-experiments, design-based research, case studies), examined different disciplines and learner populations, and varied in quality. This heterogeneity limits direct comparison and makes generalization challenging.
- Publication bias: Published research may disproportionately report positive findings, potentially overestimating the effectiveness of certain design features. Studies finding null or negative results may be underrepresented.

- Context specificity: Most reviewed studies were conducted in higher education contexts in developed countries. Findings may not generalize to K-12 education, vocational training, or contexts with different technological infrastructure, cultural norms, or educational systems.
- Temporal limitations: The focus on 2015-2024 literature provides current insights but may miss important earlier foundational work. Additionally, rapid technological change means some findings may become outdated as new technologies emerge.
- Language limitations: Restricting review to English-language publications potentially excludes relevant work published in other languages, particularly research from non-Western educational contexts.
- Disciplinary coverage: The review includes studies across multiple disciplines, but disciplinary differences in optimal digital book design may exist. Science and mathematics education are relatively well-represented; humanities and arts education are less so.

These limitations suggest that findings should be viewed as general principles requiring adaptation to specific contexts rather than universal prescriptions.

9. Directions for Future Research

This synthesis identifies several areas where additional research would advance understanding of effective digital book design:

9.1. Empirical Validation of Design Principles

Most current studies examine design features in isolation. Future research should compare fully integrated, principled digital books against conventional ones using rigorous experimental designs. Studies must examine interactions between design dimensions (e.g., how multimedia affects SRL support) and measure long-term retention and transfer rather than just immediate comprehension

9.2. Context-Specific Research

Research should examine how optimal design varies across different contexts. Key questions include whether principles differ between STEM and humanities disciplines, how designs should adapt for K-12 versus professional development, and if principles require cultural adaptation. Additionally, research should explore design variations for fully online, blended, and flipped classroom modalities

9.3. Individual Differences

Learner characteristics likely moderate design effectiveness. Future work should investigate how prior knowledge affects the need for scaffolding and whether digital books should accommodate learning preferences versus strict adherence to evidence. Furthermore, research should clarify if learners with strong self-regulation skills require less explicit support and how digital literacy impacts the ability to benefit from advanced features

9.4. Emerging Technologies

Several technologies warrant investigation: AI for Adaptation: Research should examine how AI can adapt content difficulty and sequencing based on performance while respecting learner autonomy and avoiding bias. Immersive Technologies: Studies should determine when VR/AR enhances learning versus creating distraction and identify which objectives benefit most from immersion. Natural Language Processing (NLP): Research should compare conversational interfaces to traditional text and explore how NLP can provide appropriate scaffolding. Learning Analytics: Work is needed to identify interaction patterns that predict success and determine how analytics can inform real-time support while balancing privacy concerns.

9.5. Implementation Research

Beyond design, research must examine implementation processes. Critical questions include identifying necessary institutional supports, determining how to prepare faculty for integration, establishing sustainable economic models for development, and ensuring designs promote educational equity

10. Conclusion

This synthesis demonstrates that pedagogical effectiveness depends fundamentally on design quality rather than digital format alone. The practice of merely digitizing print textbooks fails to leverage digital affordances. Instead, effective

digital books rely on four interconnected dimensions: instructional design foundations, purposeful multimedia integration, explicit self-regulated learning support, and technological usability. These dimensions are grounded in established learning theories, including CTML, SRL, and constructivism. Effective digital books function as active instructional systems and metacognitive scaffolds rather than static content repositories. This reconceptualization has significant implications for how resources are designed, evaluated, and integrated into practice. However, barriers such as infrastructure limitations, expertise requirements, and institutional inertia persist, requiring institutional commitment, professional development, and policy reform to overcome. Theoretically, this synthesis integrates complementary learning theories to offer a comprehensive foundation for effectiveness. Practically, it provides actionable guidance for educators and designers. While emerging technologies like AI offer potential for adaptive personalization, realizing this requires continued research balancing technological capability with pedagogical wisdom. Ultimately, technology is a means, not an end. The goal is enhanced learning, achievable only by viewing digital book development as a design challenge that integrates pedagogical knowledge, cognitive science, and the thoughtful application of technology.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. Longman.

[2] Arafat, M. Y. (2020). LMS-based e-learning in the labyrinth of diversity: Insights from Pakistan. European Journal of Education Studies, 7(12), 404–418.

[3] Azevedo, R., & Aleven, V. (Eds.). (2013). International handbook of metacognition and learning technologies. Springer.

[4] Bannert, M., & Mengelkamp, C. (2013). Scaffolding hypermedia learning through metacognitive prompts. In R. Azevedo & V. Aleven (Eds.), International handbook of metacognition and learning technologies (pp. 171–186). Springer.

[5] Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1997). Writing narrative literature reviews. Review of General Psychology, 1(3), 311–320.

[6] Bohman, P., & Anderson, S. (2005). A conceptual framework for accessibility tools to benefit users with cognitive disabilities. In Proceedings of the 2005 International Cross-Disciplinary Workshop on Web Accessibility (pp. 85–89). ACM.

[7] Broadbent, J., & Poon, W. L. (2015). Self-regulated learning strategies and academic achievement in online higher education learning environments: A systematic review. The Internet and Higher Education, 27, 1–13.

[8] Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., & Paas, F. (2019). The potential of embodied cognition to improve STEM instructional dynamic visualizations. In J. C. Castro-Alonso (Ed.), Visuospatial processing for education in health and natural sciences (pp. 225–250). Springer.

[9] Chen, Y., Liu, C., & Chang, M. (2019). The effect of goal specificity on multimedia learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(4), 905–921.

[10] Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). E-learning and the science of instruction (4th ed.). Wiley.

[11] Cox, J. (2004). E-books: Challenges and opportunities. D-Lib Magazine, 10(10). https://doi.org/10.1045/october2004-cox

[12] de Jong, T., & van Joolingen, W. R. (2020). Model-facilitated learning. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (3rd ed., pp. 534–552). Cambridge University Press.

[13] DeStefano, D., & LeFevre, J. A. (2007). Cognitive load in hypertext reading: A review. Computers in Human Behavior, 23(3), 1616–1641.

[14] Dunlosky, J., & Rawson, K. A. (2012). Overconfidence produces underachievement: Inaccurate self-evaluations undermine students' learning and retention. Learning and Instruction, 22(4), 271–280.

[15] Embong, A. M., Noor, A. M., Hashim, H. M., Ali, R. M., & Shaari, Z. H. (2012). E-books as textbooks in the classroom. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 47, 1802–1809.

[16] Järvelä, S., & Hadwin, A. F. (2013). New frontiers: Regulating learning in CSCL. Educational Psychologist, 48(1), 25–39.

[17] Khosravi, H., Sadiq, S., & Gasevic, D. (2022). Development and adoption of an adaptive learning system. In Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (pp. 1088–1094). ACM.

[18] King, A. (1992). Comparison of self-questioning, summarizing, and notetaking-review as strategies for learning from lectures. American Educational Research Journal, 29(2), 303–323.

[19] Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. Educational Psychologist, 41(2), 75–86.

[20] Li, W., Wang, F., Mayer, R. E., & Liu, H. (2020). Getting the point: Which kinds of gestures by pedagogical agents improve multimedia learning? Journal of Educational Psychology, 112(6), 1382–1395.

[21] Liu, Z., & Huang, X. (2020). Navigation support in digital textbooks: Cognitive load effects and learning outcomes. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(2), 765–786.

[22] Marchionini, G. (2006). Exploratory search: From finding to understanding. Communications of the ACM, 49(4), 41–46.

[23] Mayer, R. E. (2021). Multimedia learning (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[24] Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). Universal design for learning: Theory and practice. CAST Professional Publishing.

[25] Paas, F., Renkl, A., & Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory: Instructional implications of the interaction between information structures and cognitive architecture. In Handbook of research on learning and instruction (2nd ed., pp. 139–160). Routledge.

[26] Piaget, J. (1973). To understand is to invent: The future of education. Grossman Publishers.

[27] Pratama, M. P., & Adam, M. W. S. (2024). E-books as self-learning resources: Student perspectives on effectiveness and challenges. Jurnal Kependidikan Media, 13(1), 45–59.

[28] Renkl, A. (2017). Learning from worked-examples in mathematics: Students relate procedures to principles. ZDM Mathematics Education, 49(4), 571–584.

[29] Roediger, H. L., & Butler, A. C. (2011). The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15(1), 20–27.

[30] Scheiter, K., & Eitel, A. (2017). The use of eye tracking as a research and instructional tool in multimedia learning. In C. Was, F. Sansosti, & B. Morris (Eds.), Eye-tracking technology applications in educational research (pp. 143–164). IGI Global.

[31] Schneps, M. H., Thomson, J. M., Chen, C., Sonnert, G., & Pomplun, M. (2013). E-readers are more effective than paper for some with dyslexia. PLoS ONE, 8(9), e75634.

[32] Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. Review of Educational Research, 78(1), 153–189.

[33] Singh, V., & Thurman, A. (2019). How many ways can we define online learning? A systematic literature review of definitions of online learning (1988-2018). American Journal of Distance Education, 33(4), 289–306.

[34] Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. (2019). Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later. Educational Psychology Review, 31(2), 261–292.

[35] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

[36] Wolfe, J. L., & Neuwirth, C. M. (2001). From the margins to the center: The future of annotation. Journal of Business and Technical Communication, 15(3), 333–371.

[37] Zhang, L., Chen, M., & Liu, Y. (2021). Hierarchical content structure and navigation design in digital textbooks: Effects on learning performance and cognitive load. Computers & Education, 160, 104035.

[38] Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. Theory into Practice, 41(2), 64–70.